

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
ORANGEBURG DIVISION

Leisa Howard,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	Civil Action No. 5:20-cv-2771-TMC
	)	
vs.	)	
	)	
Andrew M. Saul, Commissioner of	)	<b>ORDER</b>
Social Security Administration,	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	
_____	)	

Plaintiff Leisa Howard brought this action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 405(g), seeking judicial review of a final decision of the Commissioner of Social Security (“Commissioner”). (ECF No. 1). This matter is before the court on the Commissioner’s unopposed motion, pursuant to sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g), seeking entry of an order remanding the case for further administrative proceedings. (ECF No. 21). Specifically, the Commissioner indicates that, on remand, the Administrative Law Judge will:

(1) re-evaluate the medical opinion evidence and assess the persuasiveness of the medical opinions of record; (2) re-evaluate the claimant’s RFC in light of the opinion evidence; (3) take further action to complete the administrative record resolving the above issues; and (4) issue a new decision.

*Id.* at 1. The Commissioner also requests that the court enter a final judgment by separate order.

*Id.* at 2.

Plaintiff consents to the motion to remand. *Id.*

Accordingly, the court **GRANTS** the Commissioner’s motion to remand, (ECF No. 21). The Commissioner’s decision is **REVERSED**, pursuant to sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g), and this action is **REMANDED** to the Commissioner for further administrative proceedings,

including those set forth above. The Clerk of Court is directed to enter a final judgment by separate order.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

s/ Timothy M. Cain  
United States District Judge

April 2, 2021  
Anderson, South Carolina